## **REMARKS**

The undersigned wishes to thank Examiner Lam and Examiner Mengistu for the kindness and courtesy provided during the informal interview on April 21, 2010.

During this interview, there was a discussion of the applied prior art along with a discussion of the subject matter recited in claim 1. It was generally and tentatively agreed that further recitations in claim 1 setting forth the positional relationships between the various recited elements may be looked upon favorably.

In response to the understanding of the undersigned as a result of the interview, claim 1 has been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention. Additionally, the remaining claims have been amended to conform with the recitations in claim 1. Certain additional amendments have been made primarily for consistency of terminology.

In view of the elements recited in claim 1 and their structural relationships with one another, it is believed that such structural relationships are not present in any individual ones of the applied prior art nor would such structural relationships result from any combination of teachings set forth in the applied prior art. Accordingly, it is believed that claim 1 is allowable, which allowance is respectfully requested.

Attorney Docket: 2932-A-7

Upon allowance of claim 1 and as the remaining claims have been amended to be

consistent with claim 1, it is believed that all of remaining claims 4-45 are also allowable, which

allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

The von Hellens Law Firm, Ltd.

/C. Robert von Hellens/

C. Robert von Hellens Reg. No. 25,714

CRvH/CMF 7330 N. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite C 201 Phoenix, Arizona 85020

Tel: 602-944-2277